

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/784,209	TODA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Deborah K. Ware	1651	

All Participants:

 (1) Deborah K. Ware.

 (2) Gary Tanigawa.
Status of Application: ____

(3) ____

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 16 February 2007
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
not discussed per se
Claims discussed:
claims 1-16
Prior art documents discussed:
not discussed per se
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed changes to the claims which were brought up during a patentability conference held on February 15, 2007, to put the case into condition for allowance. No authorization was given because Applicants did not contact their Representative to authorize the changes..